1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CATHAY BANK, a California Case No. CV 12-08341 DDP (FMOx) Banking corporation, 12 Plaintiff, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS 13 ACTION SHOULD NOT BE REMANDED FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION v. 14 MICHAEL WESTON, an 15 individual, 16 Defendant. 17 18 Defendant is ordered to show cause why this action should not be remanded to state court for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. 19 20 Plaintiff filed an unlawful detainer complaint on July 25, 2012. 21 On September 27, 2012, Defendant removed to this court, asserting 22 federal question jurisdiction. (Notice of Removal 2:18-3:6.) 23 Under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b), a defendant may remove to federal 2.4 court "[a]ny civil action of which the district courts have 25 original jurisdiction founded on a claim or right arising under the 26 Constitution, treaties or laws of the United States . . . " 27 "Under the longstanding well-pleaded complaint rule, however, a suit 'arises under' federal law only when the plaintiff's statement 28

of his own cause of action shows that it is based upon federal law." <u>Vaden v. Discover Bank</u>, 556 U.S. 49, 60 (2009) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). "Federal law cannot be predicated on an actual or anticipated defense . . . . Nor can federal question jurisdiction rest upon an actual or anticipated counterclaim." <u>Id.</u> (citations omitted). Here, nothing on the face of Plaintiff's complaint suggests a federal question, and Defendant offers no support for his assertion that the matter presents a federal question.

The court notes that the Defendant has the burden of establishing removal jurisdiction. Accordingly, the court orders Defendant to file a brief, not to exceed ten pages, by Monday, November 5, 2012 showing cause why this action should not be remanded for lack of jurisdiction. Defendant should also deliver a courtesy copy to chambers, Room 244-J, Second Floor, 312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles. The court will regard any failure to file an explanatory brief as consent to remand this matter.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Da

Dated: October 26, 2012

DEAN D. PREGERSON

United States District Judge